App. F. IX-4

Previous References

1931
(Mezrai)

File No. 342-3

Serial Nos. 1 - 5

Later References

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. 5

Part B for November 1932

SUBJECT.

Appeal re Paricharike duty of Annamanavaru
Swamidhi Sri Prasanna Vanjundeswara Swa-
temple Santhepet - Mysore

5

To.
M B Krishnamurthy, Savings bank clerk
State Huzur Treasury Bangalore

No 875 of 28-11-32

State that there are no reasons to interfere
with the Mezrai Commissioners order

Appl 24 of 31-32

General and Revenue Secretariat — Mug File No. 342 of 1931-2 — [App. F. III—2

Serial No.

Petition of 20.6.32 from Mr. B. Krishna Moorthy Savings Bank Clerk - State Muzrai Treasury appealing against the decision of the Muzrai Commissioner in Muzrai appeal No 39 of 30-31 on the file of his Court. regarding the Paichandike only of Ammanavara Sannidhi - Sri Prasanna Ranjaneya Swamy temple - Santhepet Mysore.

1 The appeal petition is sufficiently stamped and presented in time -

2 The appellant says that he is the kaladar for the Paichandike work of the Ammanavara Sannidhi in Sri Prasanna Ranjaneya Swamy temple at mysore. As seen from the facts of the case set forth in the preamble of the M.C's decision, the above work was created in 1918 by the appellant's father Mr Ayyavadhani, posted to the place. After a service of 10 yrs Mr Ayyavadhani died - It is decided by the M.C that the work is not a hereditary one as it came into existence only in 1918. The appellant has not annexed the order in original or copy the order relating to the appointment of his father to the Paichandike

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 70—GBPM—60,000—13-8-31

File No. of 193/-. Secre

burden of proof that the post is a hereditary one
vested in him.

Whether an appeal may be admitted
with a report on the facts stated by P. who
records called for & filed for orders —

24/6 — 4 Report with Records may be
called for.

25/6/32

(for Secy)

(for Member)

934/32
27/6

Yes

1/2
4/41

6/7

Order - Notice & Judgment
Final order is for Hon'ble Sir's signature.

5th July/32

6/7

TYPING SECTION
9. JUL. 1932
GEN'L & REV. SECTT.

No 106 of 12/7/32 to the Inspector General
Calling for records in the case — 2

No 107 of 12/7/32 to the petitioner acknowledging
the receipt of his petition.

Letters No 53/32-339 of 11.10.32 from 3.
The Collector Commissioner submitting
records received from the Deputy
Commissioner, Peerzore together with
his office file in appeal No 39/3031
wherein the party can be
found out.

GEN'L. & REV. SECRETARIAT
13 JUL. 1932
MUZRAI

Serial No.

Letter of 24-10-32 from Mr B. Krishna Moorthy forwarding the order of 16-7-30 issued from the Taluk Office in the matter of the transfer of the lien of his father to his register's name.

The facts of the case are as set forth in the Appeal No 30 of 30-31 on the file of the Dist. Civil Court. The temple in question is a major temple. The Dharmadarsi of the Taluk have decided that the right held by the appellant's father is not a hereditary one. [redacted]

The order of the lower court should be set aside.

GS 31 i 7/11

This case is invited to Sec: 34 Chap V (Page 9) of the Mang: Regn VII of 1927. The Dist's decision is strictly in accordance with the Regn. The petn's statement that the Periyanambi post is hereditary does not seem to be correct.

Orders as to date of hearing are solicited.

4/11/32

(For Secy) 2nd. (W)

It is alleged by the petitioner that the person who is now in appointed [redacted] has several other jobs & his appointment is opposed. 10/11/32

forwarded

This court was

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

11 NOV. 1932

WD 70—GBPM—60,000—13-8-31

Mug appl 24 of 31-32 re: The post of Sri Anniamadana Sannidhi - Sri Nayinadeswarar Swami temple

File No. Mysore — of 193 | General and Secreta

Considered by the local authorities. The chief point decided by the D C was that the appt was hereditary. He therefore appointed a minor as karnavandar. The M C held that the appt is not hereditary as it was created in 1918 + that the appellant who was selected by the Dharmadarsis was qualified for the post There seems no reason to interfere with this order.

As the order was passed by me as M C this may be submitted to the Dewan

JPZ
20/11

Dewan)

I agree with M.C. There are no reasons to interfere with his order

JPZ
22/11/32

Dewan

Submitted for kind perusal.

25/11/32

Submitted

25/11/32

II Member)

25/11

25 NOV. 1932

GENL & REV SECTT.
26. NOV. 1932
GENL & REV SECTT.

[App. F. III—2

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No. 342 of 1931.

Serial No.

5

No 8875 of 28/11/32 to the D. Krishna Moorthy Savings Bank Clerk State Huzur Treasury Bangalore intimating that there are no reasons to interfere with the order passed by the Inspector Commissioner on the subject—

Memo No. 8876 of 28/11/32 to the Inspector Commissioner forwarding Copy of the above and returning records received from him in the subject—

B
3/12

WD 70—GBPM—60,000—1-8-31

File No. of 193 General and Secreta





OFFICE OF THE
FIRST MEMBER OF COUNCIL
21 JUNE 1932
No. M. 275

To

Rajakaryaprasakta Diwan Bahadur
M.N. Krishna Rao, Esquire, B.A.,
First Member of Council,
Government of Mysore,
Bangalore.

GENL. & REV. SECRETARIAT
15-00
22. JUN. 1932
MUZRAI.

Mwz Appl 24 of 31-32 21.6
Mwz 343-31-1
6/21/19

Sir.

I beg to submit this my application for your generous consideration and favourable orders:

I beg to enclose herein a copy of the order of the Amildar, Deputy Commissioner and the Muzrai Commissioner, for favour of kind perusal.

I beg to state that my father was working in the Prasanna Kanjundeswara Temple, Mysore. He expired during May 1929. The then Amildar appointed one Sivaram Bhatta on the next day of my father's death to the post of my deceased father. After the funeral ceremonies were over, I made an application to the Amildar to transfer the lien of the appointment to my name and order the disbursement of the salary due to my father to me. The Amildar obtained the orders of the higher authorities and issued the memo herein enclosed and expressed that the lien could not be transferred to my name since I was in Government appointment. For which, I again approached the Amildar with an application to transfer the lien of the appointment to my nephew since my brother was not in the station then. The Amildar approved my requisition and permitted to extract work from our substitute on the entire responsibility of my uncle and myself. I may also add that this arrangement continued till the 30th of last month.

Sivaram Bhatta appealed in the Court of the Deputy Commissioner

Commissioner, Mysore District, against the orders of the Amildar. The application was rejected (as per order No. 5 dated 23.3.20). Now I am rather surprised to receive the order of the Muzrai Commissioner (after a lapse of two complete years of service in the above temple). The rules laid down in the Muzrai Regulation expressly declare that the Paricharaka appointment is hereditory. Hence, the appointment ought to have been transferred, as a rule, to the surviving son of the diceased. I may also add, that there was only one permanent appointment in the above temple. The - present appointment was created to my father who has put in a service of more than 12 to 13 years.

Now, I beg to bring to your kind consideration about my domestic circumstances. My father died leaving behind two male sons and a widow. I am in Government service. My brother -- Narayana Avadhani is a major and is out of any employment and is the legal successor of the deceased. Sivaram Bhatta holds -- appointments in Krishnaswamy temple, Trineswara temple, Ganesha temple and Varahaswamy temple which is against the rules of -- Government order. Under these circumstances, I request you to kindly consider my case favourable and order the reinstitution of my brother to the post of my deceased father and transfer the lien of the appointment to his name.

Again, I appeal to your worthyself, about the immediate handing over the charge to Sivarambhatta without giving us any intimation whatsoever, even though we had shouldered the entire responsibility as per memo No.195 dated 27th March 1930 from the

8

from the Amildar, Mysore Taluk. Under these circumstances I request you to kindly call for the records and consider my case with reference to the correspondence I had with the -- Amildar and the Muzrai Commissioner in the matter.

I beg to remain,
. S i r,
Your most obedient servant,

20 . 6 1932.

B a n g a l o r e.

B Krishnamurthy.
Savings Bank clerk.
State Huzur Treasury.

--:oOo:--

E RUPE ಅಕ್ಷರ-1

In the Court the Mugrai Commissioner
in Mysore

Mugra Appeal No 39/30-31

Appellant:- Nallur Sivarambhatta
VS
Respondent Venkatesiah.

Appeal against the order of the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, refusing to interfere in the order of the Sub Division officer in the matter of appointment of "Paricharaka" of the Ammanavaru in the Sri Prasanna Ranjundeswaraswami temple at Mysore

Facts of the case

The title of the above mentioned temple was vested in 1918 when a new appointment of service as Paricharaka in the temple was created and one Ayyavadham was posted for the place. After 10 years of service he died in May 1929 leaving behind him, his two sons (1) Krishnamurthy and (2) Narayanappa. former was a clerk in Government service and latter's whereabouts were not known. The D ordered his thereupon, recommended the appointment of the appellant for the post. The Paricharaka was appointed attended to the duties for about a year the meanwhile, the eldest son of the deceased barawardar but in an application to the Amlo the Mugrai Commissioner praying that barawards may be made in his name & the work got done through

Upon
file

through his gumastha. In ... followed, the convenor of ... Dharmadarsis was of opinion that the post was not a 'Istakdari' one and that the appellant was best fitted for it as the person suggested for work Viz. the respondent (son of Ayyavadhani's brother) was only a boy of 10 or 11 years of age. But the Amildar held that the post was a 'Istakdari' one; that as Krishnamurthy was in Government Service and his brother Naramapha absconding, Venkatesiah (respondent) might be made the barawardar and the actual 'Parichare' work taken from his nominee Subramaniya. This was approved by the Sub-Division officer and the Deputy commissioner submitted his report in the matter to the Madras Commissioner on 4-9-19: On a representation from Sivarambhatta, the Sub Division officer decided that the ~~change~~ change barawardar to Venkatesiah was quite aright. The appellant then approached the Dy. commissioner who refused to interfere Hence the present appeal.

The main ground of appeal is that the Dharmadarsis had decided that the post was not a hereditary one and that the appellant was better fit for it.

Head bolt - Parties on 26-3-1932

Order No. Appeal 9 of 30-31

Do Bangalore 29th April 1932
5-5-32

The ... be regarded as a hereditary one, it has come into existence only in 1918 and held by respondent's father alone The appellant seems to be well qualified for the post

for the post and as he has been recommended by the Dharmakartas and doing duty for a year, he may be appointed to the post

(s) S. P. Rajagopalachari
Muzrai commissioner.

Copy applied for by M. B Krishna Murty on 2.6.32
Copy ready on 6.6.32
" delivered on 8.6.32
No. of words 425
Copying fee 0-10-0
Comparing 0-1-0
Total 0-11-0
Copy received by Hanumantha
by S. Jayaramadas
Copy "
V. Venkatalingam

Comrs Office

12

App. F. G. 9]

GOVT. OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

Mwz 362-31-2

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT.

106 Memo/8

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

Mwz dt 12-7-32

No.. .....

The accompanying Petition Registered Mwz Appl No 24 of 31-32

No....1500..... ....dated 20.6.32.....

received at the General and Revenue Secretariat from...one B. Krishna Murthy S.B. clerk: State Bazaar Ty Bangalore. appealing against the decision of the Dy.C. in mwz appl No. 39 of 30-31 on the file of this court re: the partnership duty of Arunachalam Ramiah Sri Prasanna Nanjundeshwara Swamy temple. Sathalpet Mysore city

is forwarded, ~~together with its enclosures~~

to the.... Dy.C. .....

.....

.....for report;

~~except~~ with ~~acknowledgment~~ the records connected with the case.

By Order,

Secretary.

Acknowledgment card sent to the Petitioner 12-7-32

BANGALORE,

7/7/1932

[P. T. O

WD 0562—GPB—2,250—2-8-27

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರ್.....ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು

.....ಹೋಬಳಿ

.. ..ಗ್ರಾಮದಲ್ಲಿರುವ

.....ಗೆ

ಇಂಜಿನಿಯರ್ಸ್ ಹುಕುಂ.

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ನೀವು ಗುಜರಾಯಿಸಿಕ್ಕೊಂಡ.....

ಮರ್ಕುಮಿನ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು.....ಬಗ್ಗೆ

.....

.....ರವರ ಖಳಿಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದೆ

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು

By Order.

Secretary.

No. S 3/32-33.
Encl 2 Files

Muz 362 31-3 (13

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE,

Bangalore, 4th October 19
14

FROM

S. P. RAJAGOPALACHARI, ESQ., B.A., B.L.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

To

S. Shamanna, Esqui e., P...,
Secretary to Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore
Mini...ctl. ...Department.

8/13/10

GENL. & FEV. SECRETARIAT
435-
1932
MUZRAI.

SIR,

With reference t your C. . .c.106/...2 dated 18th July 193', calling for a report with records connected to the appeal petition of B. Krishnamurthy, C. B. Clerk, Muzur Division, Bellary, I have the honor to submit herewith the records received in the Deputy Commissioner's letter No.83/32 dated 30th August 1932 in the matter of the appeal filed by the petitioner in Appeal No.59/30-31 for the restoration of the suit property alleged to be set forth.

The petition and the

returned.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

M Ramamurthi
For the Commissioner.
(absent from Hqrs)

Mis 362-31-4

The Financial Secretary,
Government of Mysore
Bangalore

Sir,

I beg to enclose herewith the original order of the Amildar, transferring the lease of my father late Appayyadhanis appointment to my nephew's Name and request you to kindly have this enclosed to my appeal file.

I beg to remain,
Sir,
Your most Obedient Servant

B. Krishnamurthy.
24.10.32.

15

825 - Encl

Mog 362 -31-5-

26th Nov. 1932.

D&C

Mr. B. Krishna Murthy, Savings Bank Clerk, State Huzur Treasury, Bangalore.

With reference to his petition dated 20th June 1932 requesting that his brother may be appointed as the Pari-charak of Sri Ammanavaru Sannidhi in Sri Prasanna Nanjundes-waraswami temple at Santhepet, Mysore, in place of his late father, the lien of the post also being transferred to him, Mr. B. Krishnamurthy is informed that there are no reasons to interfere with the orders passed by the Muzrai Commissioner in the matter in Muzrai Appeal No. 39 of 1930-31 on the file of his Court. The enclosures received with the above petition as also the one received with his letter of 24th October 1932 are herewith returned.

By order,

Sd/- S. Shamanna,
Financial Secretary to Government.

C.3. P.T.O.

876

Memo No. 1145 dated 26th November 1932.

Copy of the above is forwarded with compliments to the Muzrai Commissioner, returning records received with his letter No. 53 of 1932-33 of 11th October 1932.

By order,

for Financial Secretary to Government

ppendix F G—1]

17

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Section Mucg

File No. 342-31

Serial No. 5.

ry No. of letter replied to .....

No. 875-L

To

Mr B. Krishna Murthy.
Savings Bank Clerk.
State Huzur Ty.
Bangalore.

ate of issue 28/11/32

gned By B Muddukrishnappa.

Sir I am to refer to his petn dy 20/6/32 regarding that his brother may be appointed as the Panchanah of Sri Anjaneyaswamy Sannidhi in Sri Prasanna Venkatramana Swamy Temple at Santhapet Mysore in place of his late father, that the Vice of the said office is also vacant to him, Govt. P.O. Murthy is informed that there are no reasons to interfere & agree with the

List of enclosures .....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

5' 5-

Mez Appl no 39/30-3

online file of this Court ~~and that~~
~~there are no records to interfere~~
~~with~~ his ~~order and judgment~~.
The enclosures received with the
above petn are also the one
received with his letter of 24.10.32
are herewith returned.

B.O

25/11/32
~~Forwarded~~
Ag dept

Encl:
876/Mez/28-11-32
Copy to M.C returning records
received with his letter No.
53/32-33 of 11/10/32
B.O

25/11/32
Dr. forwarded
Ag dept

Q.J. Encl
28/11

18 pages